

REPLACEMENT PAYMENT CONTROL

Should we pay again?

An unresolved original. Another way to pay.
One decision to review before release.

A new route is not a new right to pay.

A provider, an ERP and a bank portal can each pay the same obligation. The review asks whether the same payment limit holds across those paths.

Start with one payment episode.

Bring the original, the proposed replacement and what was known at the time. Cases where existing controls worked are equally useful.

What we review	What you receive
The payment and related attempts	A linked timeline with uncertainty explicit.
Decision-time evidence and current controls	Findings, evidence references and exclusions.
Every independently executable path	A coverage map that identifies bypasses.
Alternatives, effort and the next owner	A summary, full readout and CSV / JSON.

Keep what works.

Retain a sufficient existing control. Fix a demonstrated gap. Leave incomplete evidence inconclusive.
Installation of HALTSEAL is not required for an assessment.

Bring non-confidential context. No payment credentials or bank access are needed for the first conversation. Scope, effort, deliverables and fees are agreed before paid work.

FROM EVIDENCE TO AUTHORITY

One workflow. A clear next decision.

01 / Assessment

Test the existing controls within an agreed non-production scope. Deliver findings and a next decision.

02 / Shadow

Review proposed decisions without payment authority. Offline review is available; live capture requires a reviewed integration.

03 / Selective enforcement

Requires technical validation and customer approval for a defined release boundary. Unconnected paths remain outside coverage.

Illustrative example. No live payment.

An outstanding \$50,000 check and a proposed \$50,000 ACH concern one obligation. While the check may still pay, the replacement is HOLD. A stop request alone is insufficient.

Evidence that the check did not pay and cannot pay later restores eligibility. A fresh check of the exact ACH and current authority is still required. Offline ACCEPT does not authorize dispatch or confirm settlement.

Current evidence and limits.

Local, synthetic evaluation. Actual buyer paths verified: 0. Native provider calls: 0. Live Shadow and selective enforcement remain unqualified. Production reliability, prevented loss and savings are not established. Real-money production is not approved.

Public sources and next steps

Research and primary sources: haltseal.com/research/

Results and limitations: haltseal.com/proof/

Review a workflow: haltseal.com/evaluate/